

# Meadlands Primary School



## AI Policy

Status	Statutory
Review cycle	Annually
Date written/last reviewed	May 2026
Date of next review	May 2027
Signature of Headteacher Mrs Wreford	
Signature of Chair of Governors Christina Powell	
Published on website	Yes

## Aims and scope

Here at Meadlands we understand the valuable potential that artificial intelligence (AI), including generative AI, holds for schools. For example, it can be used to enhance pedagogical methods, customise learning experiences and progress educational innovation.

We are also aware of the risks posed by AI, including data protection breaches, copyright issues, ethical complications, safeguarding and compliance with wider legal obligations.

Therefore, the aim of this policy is to establish guidelines for the ethical, secure and responsible use of AI technologies across our whole school community.

This policy covers the use of AI tools by school staff, governors and pupils. This includes generative chatbots such as ChatGPT and Google Gemini (please note, this list is not exhaustive).

This policy aims to:

- Support the use of AI to enhance teaching and learning
- Support staff to explore AI solutions to improve efficiency and reduce workload
- Prepare staff, governors and pupils for a future in which AI technology will be an integral part
- Promote equity in education by using AI to address learning gaps and provide personalised support
- Ensure that AI technologies are used ethically and responsibly by all staff, governors and pupils, including in compliance with intellectual property law
- Protect the privacy and personal data of staff, governors and pupils in compliance with the UK GDPR

## Legislation and guidance

This policy reflects good practice guidelines / recommendations in the following publications:

- [Generative artificial intelligence \(AI\) in education](#), published by the Department for Education (DfE)
- [Generative AI: product safety standards](#), published by the DfE
- [How Ofsted looks at AI during inspection and regulation](#), published by Ofsted
- [AI use in assessments](#), published by the Joint Council for Qualifications

This policy also meets the requirements of the:

- UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) – the EU GDPR was incorporated into UK legislation, with some amendments, by [The Data Protection, Privacy and Electronic Communications \(Amendments etc\) \(EU Exit\) Regulations 2020](#)
- [Data Protection Act 2018 \(DPA 2018\)](#)

## Governing board

The governing board will:

- Take overall responsibility for monitoring this policy and holding the headteacher to account for its implementation in line with the school's AI strategy
- Ensure the headteacher is appropriately supported to make informed decisions regarding the effective and ethical use of AI in the school

Governors will adhere to the guidelines below to protect data when using generative AI tools themselves:

- Use only approved AI tools (see section 5 and appendix 1)
- Seek advice from the data protection officer (DPO) and the designated safeguarding lead, as appropriate

- Ensure there is no identifiable information included in what they put into generative AI tools (unless strictly necessary and they have been approved to do so – check with your DPO/AI lead where appropriate)
- Acknowledge or reference any use of generative AI in their work
- Fact-check results to make sure the information is accurate

### **Headteacher**

The headteacher will:

- Take responsibility for the day-to-day leadership and management of AI use in the school
- Liaise with the data protection officer (DPO) to ensure that the use of AI in the school is in accordance with data protection legislation
- Liaise with the designated safeguarding lead (DSL) to ensure that the use of AI is in accordance with Keeping Children Safe in Education (KCSIE), and the school's child protection and safeguarding policy
- Ensure that the guidance set out in this policy is followed by all staff
- Review and update this AI policy as appropriate
- Ensure staff are appropriately trained in the effective use and potential risks of AI
- Make sure pupils are taught about the effective use and potential risks of AI
- Sign off on approved uses of AI, or new AI tools, taking into account advice from the DPO and data protection impact assessments

### **Data protection officer (DPO)**

The data protection officer (DPO) is responsible for monitoring and advising on our compliance with data protection law, including in relation to the use of AI.

### **Designated safeguarding lead (DSL)**

The DSL is responsible for monitoring and advising on our compliance with safeguarding requirements including in relation to the use of AI, such as:

- Being aware of new and emerging safeguarding threats posed by AI
- Updating and delivering staff training on AI safeguarding threats
- Responding to safeguarding incidents in line with KCSIE and the school's child protection and safeguarding policy
- Understanding the filtering and monitoring systems and processes in place on school devices

### **All staff**

As part of our aim to reduce staff workload while improving outcomes for our pupils, we encourage staff to explore opportunities to meet these objectives through the use of approved AI tools. Any use of AI must follow the guidelines set out in this policy.

To protect data when using generative AI tools, staff must:

- Use only approved AI tools (see appendix 1)
- Seek advice from the Headteacher
- Report safeguarding concerns to the DSL in line with our school's child protection and safeguarding policy
- Ensure there is no identifiable information included in what they put into generative AI tools (unless it is strictly necessary and they are approved to do so – they should check with the DPO/AI lead where appropriate)

- Acknowledge or reference the use of generative AI in their work
- Fact-check results to make sure the information is accurate

All staff play a role in ensuring that pupils understand the potential benefits and risks of using AI in their learning. All of our staff have a responsibility to guide pupils in critically evaluating AI-generated information and understanding its limitations.

### **Process for approving AI use**

The headteacher is responsible for signing off on approved uses of AI, or new AI tools.

Any use of generative AI by staff and pupils will be risk assessed, which will include plans for mitigating against unauthorised use cases. The intended use case will be specified and have clear benefits that outweigh the risks.

As part of this process, we will seek assurances from suppliers of AI tools about how their products meet the DfE's AI product safety standards.

If it is strictly necessary to use an AI tool to process personal data, we will carry out a data protection impact assessment (DPIA) in addition to the risk assessment. The DPO will advise on this. If the use case is approved, we will also update our privacy notices accordingly.

In the case of AI tools intended for use by pupils, the risk assessment process must consider:

- Age restrictions set by AI tools
- Statutory requirements of KCSIE and our child protection and safeguarding policy
- Filtering and monitoring standards to make sure we have the appropriate systems in place to protect pupils from harm
- The DfE's AI product safety standards

### **Staff and governors' use of AI**

We are committed to helping staff and governors reduce their workload. Generative AI tools can make certain written tasks quicker and easier to complete but cannot replace the judgement and knowledge of a human expert. Technology, including generative AI, should not replace the valuable relationship between teachers and pupils.

Any content produced using AI requires critical judgement to check for appropriateness and accuracy. Whatever tools or resources are used to produce policies or documents, the quality and content of the final document remains the professional responsibility of the person who produced it.

Any policies or documents created using AI should be clearly attributed. Any member of staff or governor using an AI-generated policy or document should only share the AI-generated content with other members of staff or governors for use if they are confident of the accuracy of the information, as the content remains the professional responsibility of the person who produced it.

Always consider whether AI is the right tool to use. Just because the school has approved its use doesn't mean it will always be appropriate.

Staff are welcome to suggest new ways of using AI to improve pupil outcomes and reduce workload. They should contact the headteacher to discuss any ideas they may have with regards to using AI, so they – in consultation with others, as appropriate – can assess whether they deem it to be a satisfactory new method of working.

### **Data protection and privacy**

To ensure that personal and sensitive data remains secure, no one will be permitted to enter such data into generative AI tools or chatbots that have not been approved as safe for this use case.

If personal and/or sensitive data is entered into an unauthorised generative AI tool we will treat this as a data breach and will follow the personal data breach procedure outlined in our data protection policy.

## **Intellectual property**

Most generative AI tools use inputs submitted by users to train and refine their models.

Pupils own the intellectual property (IP) rights to original content they create. This is likely to include anything that shows working out or is beyond multiple choice questions.

Pupils' work must not be used by staff to train generative AI models without appropriate consent or exemption to copyright.

Exemptions to copyright are limited – we will seek legal advice if we are unsure as to whether we are acting within the law.

## **Bias**

We are aware that AI tools can perpetuate existing biases, particularly towards protected characteristics including sex, race and disability. For this reason, critical thought must be applied to all outputs of authorised AI applications. This means fact and sense-checking the output.

We will ensure we can identify and rectify bias or error by training staff in this area.

We also regularly review our use of AI to identify and correct any biases that may arise.

If parents/carers or pupils have any concerns or complaints about potential unfair treatment or other negative outcomes as a consequence of AI use, these will be dealt with through our usual complaints procedure.

## **Raising concerns**

We encourage staff and governors to speak to the headteacher in the first instance if they have any concerns about a proposed use of AI, or the use of AI that may have resulted in errors that led to adverse consequences or unfair treatment.

Safeguarding concerns arising from the use of generative AI must be reported immediately to the DSL in accordance with our school's child protection and safeguarding policy.

## **Ethical and responsible use**

We will always:

- Use generative AI tools ethically and responsibly
- Remember the principles set out in our school's equality policy when using generative AI tools
- Consider whether the tool has real-time internet access, or access to information up to a certain point in time, as this may impact the accuracy of the output
- Fact and sense-check the output before relying on it

Staff and governors must not:

- Generate content to impersonate, bully or harass another person
- Generate explicit or offensive content
- Input offensive, discriminatory or inappropriate content as a prompt

## **Educating pupils about AI**

We acknowledge that pupils benefit from a knowledge-rich curriculum that allows them to become well-informed users of technology and understand its impact on society. Strong foundational knowledge will ensure that pupils develop the right skills to make the best use of generative AI.

- Creating and using digital content safely and responsibly
- The limitations, reliability and potential bias of generative AI
- How information on the internet is organised and ranked

- Online safety to protect against harmful or misleading content

### **Use of AI by pupils**

Following the guidance from Achieving for Children (AfC), pupils will not be permitted to use AI tools within school.

### **Breach of this policy**

#### **By staff**

Breach of this policy by staff will be dealt with in line with our staff code of conduct.

Where disciplinary action is appropriate, it may be taken whether the breach occurs:

- During or outside of working hours
- On an individual's own device or a school device
- At home, at school or from a remote working location

Staff members will be required to co-operate with any investigation into a suspected breach of this policy. This may involve providing us with access to:

- The generative AI application in question (whether or not it is one authorised by the school)
- Any relevant passwords or login details

You must report any breach of this policy, either by you or by another member of staff, to the headteacher immediately.

#### **By pupils**

Any breach of this policy by a pupil will be dealt with in line with our behaviour policy.

### **Monitoring and transparency**

AI technology, and the benefits, risks and harms related to it, evolve and change rapidly. Consequently, this policy is a live document that must be kept updated whenever there is a significant change to either AI use by the school or the associated risks of AI usage. This policy will also be regularly reviewed and updated to align with emerging best practices, technological advancements and changes in regulations.

The policy will be shared with the full governing board at least annually.

All teaching staff are expected to read and follow this policy

## Appendix 1: Approved uses of AI tools (table)

Approved tools	Approved uses
KeyGPT	Letter to parents/carers Job descriptions and adverts Interview questions
Chat GPT	Generating ideas Generating pictures Communication with parents/ carers Rewording documents to be for appropriate for certain ages/groups e.g. EAL parents Using as a 'critical friend' to give feedback/ critique documents e.g. as a parent reading a school policy Summarising information Phrasing of IEP/EHCP targets
Microsoft Co-pilot	Generating ideas
Twinkl AI	Generating pictures
Google Gemini	Communication with parents/ carers Rewording documents to be for appropriate for certain ages/groups e.g. EAL parents Using as a 'critical friend' to give feedback/ critique documents e.g. as a parent reading a school policy Summarising information CPD presentations Phrasing of IEP/EHCP targets
Canva AI Assistant	Generating pictures Designing classroom resources/ visuals Lesson resources
Hey Gen	Creating videos for translation
Notebook LM	Create digestible podcasts discussing documents